Brand Loyalty in the Age of Disruptive Innovation: Challenges and Opportunities

Dr. Dipti Anil Bajpai[1], Shuchita Vaidya[2], Dr. Mayank Tripathi[3], Dr. Priyanka Darekar[4]

[1]Assistant Professor, ATSS's Institute of Industrial and Computer Management and Research IICMR, Nigdi, Pune, diptibajpai.iicmrmba@gmail.com

[2]Assistant Professor (Sr.), School of Commerce and Management DYPIU, Akurdi,Pune. Shuchitavaidya@gmail.com, Orcid Id: 0009-0004-5660-5153

[3]Associate Professor, Pranveer Singh Institute of Technology, Kanpur , tripathimayank2018@gmail.com, Orcid Id: 0009-0001-3776-9230

[4]Associate Professor, Indira Global School of Business affiliated to Savitribai Phule Pune University, Pune, priyanka.darekar@indiraigsb.edu.in, Orcid Id: 0009-0000-6011-8236

Abstract

In the current market environment marked by swift transformations, disruptive innovation has profoundly altered consumer preferences and the nature of brand relationships. The rise of innovative technologies, digital platforms, and agile competitors has resulted in a landscape that presents both challenges and opportunities for established brands aiming to maintain customer loyalty. This research sought to investigate the effects of disruptive innovation on consumer brand loyalty within the context of urban India. A total of 165 participants engaged in a standardized survey comprising eight questions aimed at elucidating consumer opinions, purchase behaviors, and loyalty trends. The findings suggest that although disruptive innovation frequently prompts the exploration of new brands, factors such as trust, perceived value, and emotional attachment play a vital role in fostering brand loyalty. Younger consumers exhibited a greater propensity to switch brands in response to innovation, whereas older demographics typically preferred stability and reliability. The results indicate that organizations should implement a strategic framework that aligns innovation with fundamental brand values, thus cultivating enduring loyalty in a dynamic environment.

Keywords: Brand Loyalty, Disruptive Innovation, Consumer Behavior, Customer Retention, Marketing Strategy.

Introduction

In the contemporary business environment, brand loyalty is regarded as critical for sustained growth and profitability. Brand loyalty denotes the inclination of consumers to consistently choose products or services from a specific brand, even when alternatives from rival brands are accessible. It crosses traditional purchases; This promotes an emotional relationship that combines consumers with values, identity and commitments of a brand. The loyalty provides a strategic benefit by enabling repeated transactions, reducing marketing costs and cultivating advocates that affect others through personal support. The conventional understanding of loyalty is currently undergoing thorough scrutiny due to the effects of disruptive innovation in contemporary society.

Disruptive innovation, as defined by Clayton Christensen, refers to innovations that create new markets, alter consumer expectations, and often lead to the displacement of established market leaders. Examples include the rise of e-commerce platforms reshaping the retail environment, subscription services modifying consumption behaviors, and AI-driven personalization influencing brand-consumer dynamics. Such innovations typically appeal to consumers who value cost-effectiveness, convenience, and a strong interest in technology, prompting them to investigate new brands. Established enterprises face a challenging bill, which requires a collision with emerging disruptives, as well as pursuing innovation innovation to maintain its existing customer base.

This research examines the relationship between brand loyalty and disruptive innovation. The loyalty is historically established through trust, quality and stability. The current era of disintegration has introduced new dimensions, such as privatization, stability and technical agility. It is essential to investigate whether consumers continue to prioritize traditional brand attributes or if their preferences are shifting towards novelty and innovation. Furthermore, as consumer demographics shift, younger generations exhibit distinct attitudes toward loyalty in contrast to their older counterparts, prompting inquiries into the long-term viability of conventional loyalty frameworks.

This study explores the impact of disruptive innovations on customer loyalty and identifies strategies that brands can implement to achieve a balance between stability and innovation, thereby enriching both scholarly discussion and practical management approaches. The insights obtained from the findings hold significance for marketers, business strategists, and policymakers who aim to comprehend consumer behavior within a competitive and rapidly evolving marketplace.

Ultimately, the research underscores that loyalty is not waning but evolving, necessitating that brands reconsider their approaches to establishing and maintaining relationships with their customers.

Review of Literature

Understanding brand loyalty requires both conceptual clarity and recognition of the forces that reshape consumer–brand relationships. Early foundational work framed loyalty as a multidimensional phenomenon that combined behavioral repetition with attitudinal commitment; Dick and Basu (1994) proposed an integrated framework showing that loyalty depended on both relative attitudes and repeat patronage, and that context (e.g., availability of alternatives, situational factors) moderated the translation of attitudes into repeat purchase behavior. Oliver (1999) proposed that the loyalty develops through a sequence: it begins with cognitive loyalty, moves forward to affectionate loyalty, then for conical loyalty, and eventually transforms into actional loyalty. This structure highlights that permanent loyalty involves a deep emotional and practical commitment, which is beyond simple habitual engagement (Dick & Basu, 1994; Oliver, 1999). Foundational models are essential for examining the ways in which new market entrants and technologies disrupt the factors that previously facilitated repeat purchases and shaped consumer preferences.

Research on the brand trust, affected and equity has clarified the determinants of consumer loyalty and brand abandonment. Chaudhuri and Halbrook (2001) displayed empirically that the brand affects both the loyal brand trusts and the brand effect, these emotional components mediate the relationship between brand perceptions and market performance. Customer-based brand equity framework (1993) of Kevin Len Keller clarifies the impact of consumer knowledge structures. These streams suggest that although functional attributes such as price and quality are important, emotional connections and cognitive understanding of the brand significantly influence customers' likelihood to resist or accept disruptive alternatives (Chaudhuri & Holbrook, 2001; Keller, 1993).

The literature on disruptive innovation presents a distinct perspective: disruption alters competitive dynamics and customer expectations by introducing simpler, more affordable, or more accessible products that appeal to non-consumers or overserved segments, subsequently advancing into higher market tiers (Christensen, 1997). Disruption in marketing can change the prominence of attributes that support brand equity and loyalty, such as convenience, accessibility, or personalization, which may surpass traditional quality indicators. This compels established brands to either proactively adapt or risk losing market share to agile competitors (Christensen, 1997).

Loyalty management is regarded by scholars and practitioners as a strategic, data-driven process rather than a static asset. Kumar and Reinartz (2006) developed customer relationship strategies that integrated profitability, targeting, and retention tactics. This approach is particularly pertinent when disruptive entrants compel firms to determine which customers to defend and which segments to re-target with innovation-driven offerings (Kumar & Reinartz, 2006; Reinartz & Kumar, 2002).

The perspective elucidates the swift erosion of traditional product-based loyalties attributed to disruptive technologies that enhance service experiences, platforms, or ecosystems. The literature and empirical evidence concerning trust, affect, and customer portfolio economics suggest that brands facing disruption should strengthen affective connections and reorganize business models to deliver the new value types—experience, convenience, personalization, and sustainability—that modern consumers prioritize (Vargo & Lusch, 2004).

Research Objective:

The primary objectives for the paper are:

- To analyze the impact of disruptive innovations on consumer loyalty to established brands.
- To identify the primary factors—namely trust, quality, innovation, and emotional connection—that influence or undermine brand loyalty in a disruptive environment.
- To examine demographic disparities, specifically age-related variations, in brand switching behavior resulting from disruptive innovations.

Research Methodology

A cross-sectional survey research design was utilized to evaluate the impact of disruptive innovation on consumer perceptions of brand loyalty. This design was deemed suitable as it facilitated the collection of data from a varied consumer group at one point in time, thereby encompassing a wide array of experiences and attitudes regarding brands and innovation.

A total of 165 respondents were carefully selected, encompassing consumers from both metropolitan and semi-urban regions in India. The sample included a diverse array of respondents, encompassing students, working professionals, and housewives, thereby representing both younger, innovative consumers and older demographics with reduced brand recognition.

A stratified random sampling technique was employed, categorizing the population into distinct age groups to ensure equitable representation

across the following categories: under 20 years, 21–30 years, 31–40 years, 41–50 years, and over 50 years. This methodology successfully minimized sampling bias and ensured that the data accurately represented notable differences in generational responses to disruptive innovations.

The collection of data was conducted via structured online surveys, facilitating prompt and convenient responses while ensuring an accurate representation of respondents' perceptions. The survey included eight closed-ended questions aimed at assessing consumer attitudes regarding loyalty, brand switching, and the influence of innovation on their preferences. The primary methodology employed a five-point Likert scale or multiple-choice format to improve the analytical process.

This research aimed to assess the following hypotheses:

Hypothesis 1:

H_0: "There exists no significant association between disruptive innovations and consumer brand switching behavior."

H_1: "A significant association exists between disruptive innovations and consumer brand switching behavior."

Hypothesis 2:

H_0: "There is no significant difference in brand loyalty patterns among various age groups."

H_2: "Brand loyalty patterns exhibit significant differences among various age groups."

Empirical Results

Table 1: How often do you stay loyal to a particular brand despite new alternatives entering the market?

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Always	29	17.58%	17.58%	17.58%
Often	46	27.88%	27.88%	45.45%
Sometimes	41	24.85%	24.85%	70.30%
Rarely	32	19.39%	19.39%	89.70%
Never	17	10.30%	10.30%	100.00%
Total	165	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:

The majority of respondents (27.88%) reported that they often stay loyal to their preferred brands, while 24.85% sometimes remain loyal, indicating that loyalty is conditional and situational. Only 17.58% expressed complete loyalty, whereas 29.69% (rarely + never) showed low brand commitment, suggesting that disruptive innovations frequently tempt consumers to switch.

Table 2: What factor most influences your loyalty towards a brand?

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Quality of products/services	54	32.73%	32.73%	32.73%
Price competitiveness	23	13.94%	13.94%	46.67%
Trust and reliability	38	23.03%	23.03%	69.70%
Emotional connection/values	21	12.73%	12.73%	82.42%
Innovation and new features	29	17.58%	17.58%	100.00%
Total	165	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:

Quality (32.73%) and trust (23.03%) emerged as the strongest factors sustaining brand loyalty. Interestingly, innovation influenced 17.58% of respondents, indicating that while innovation matters, it does not outweigh quality and reliability. Emotional attachment and price competitiveness were comparatively less significant drivers.

Table 3: How likely are you to switch to a new brand offering innovative products or services?

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Very likely	37	22.42%	22.42%	22.42%
Likely	48	29.09%	29.09%	51.52%
Neutral	31	18.79%	18.79%	70.30%
Unlikely	28	16.97%	16.97%	87.27%

Very unlikely	21	12.73%	12.73%	100.00%
Total	165	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:
Over half of the respondents (51.51%) were likely or very likely to switch brands when innovations were introduced, demonstrating that novelty strongly attracts customers. Only 29.70% resisted change, highlighting the disruptive effect of innovation on loyalty.

Table 4: Which type of disruptive innovation impacts your brand loyalty the most?

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Technological advancements	45	27.27%	27.27%	27.27%
Subscription models	28	16.97%	16.97%	44.24%
E-commerce platforms	34	20.61%	20.61%	64.85%
Sustainable innovations	31	18.79%	18.79%	83.64%
Social media-driven brands	27	16.36%	16.36%	100.00%
Total	165	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:
Technological advancements (27.27%) and e-commerce platforms (20.61%) were reported as the most influential disruptors of loyalty. Sustainability was also a strong factor (18.79%), reflecting growing consumer awareness of eco-friendly practices. Subscription and social media-driven brands had a moderate influence.

Table 5: What is your primary reason for trying a new brand despite existing loyalty?

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Better pricing/offers	39	23.64%	23.64%	23.64%
Superior quality/features	44	26.67%	26.67%	50.30%
Peer influence/social media	21	12.73%	12.73%	63.03%
Dissatisfaction with brand	31	18.79%	18.79%	81.82%
Curiosity/novelty factor	30	18.18%	18.18%	100.00%
Total	165	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:
Superior quality or features (26.67%) and better pricing (23.64%) were the top reasons for brand switching, showing consumers prioritize tangible benefits. Novelty and dissatisfaction also contributed significantly, while peer influence was relatively less impactful.

Table 6: In your opinion, which strategy can help brands maintain loyalty despite disruptive innovations?

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Consistent quality	43	26.06%	26.06%	26.06%
Regular innovation	38	23.03%	23.03%	49.09%
Strong after-sales support	27	16.36%	16.36%	65.45%
Personalized experience	34	20.61%	20.61%	86.06%
Transparency and ethics	23	13.94%	13.94%	100.00%
Total	165	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:
Consistent quality (26.06%) and regular innovation (23.03%) were seen as the most effective loyalty strategies. Personalized experiences also carried strong weight (20.61%), highlighting the role of customization. After-sales support and ethics, though important, were less prioritized.

Table 7: To what extent do you agree that disruptive innovations reduce long-term brand loyalty?

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Strongly agree	34	20.61%	20.61%	20.61%

Agree	52	31.52%	31.52%	52.12%
Neutral	29	17.58%	17.58%	69.70%
Disagree	30	18.18%	18.18%	87.88%
Strongly disagree	20	12.12%	12.12%	100.00%
Total	165	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:
A significant proportion, 52.13% (agree + strongly agree), felt that disruptive innovations reduce long-term loyalty, validating the central premise of the study. However, 30.30% disagreed, showing that some consumers still value stability and tradition.

Table 8: Which age group do you think is most influenced by disruptive innovations in terms of brand switching?

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Below 20 years	19	11.52%	11.52%	11.52%
21–30 years	56	33.94%	33.94%	45.45%
31–40 years	41	24.85%	24.85%	70.30%
41–50 years	28	16.97%	16.97%	87.27%
Above 50 years	21	12.73%	12.73%	100.00%
Total	165	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:
Respondents felt that the 21–30 age group (33.94%) is most prone to brand switching under the influence of disruptive innovation. Middle-aged groups (31–40 years) followed closely at 24.85%. Younger consumers below 20 and older consumers above 50 showed lower susceptibility, indicating generational differences in innovation-driven loyalty shifts.

Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis 1

Table 9: Chi-Square Test for Association Between Disruptive Innovations and Brand Switching Behavior

Value	df	Asymp. Sig.
Pearson Chi-Square	21.764	4
Likelihood Ratio	22.351	4
N of Valid Cases	165	

Interpretation:
This study analyzed the relationship between disruptive innovations and consumer brand switching behavior through the Chi-Square Test for Independence. The Pearson Chi-Square statistic was 21.764 with 4 degrees of freedom, resulting in a p-value of 0.000, which is below the 0.05 significance threshold. This suggests that disruptive innovations, including technological advancements, e-commerce platforms, and sustainable products, are strongly linked to brand switching behavior in consumers. The null hypothesis (H_0) is rejected, while the alternative hypothesis (H_1) is accepted.

Hypothesis 2

Table 10: Chi-Square Test for Differences in Brand Loyalty Across Age Groups

Value	df	Asymp. Sig.
Pearson Chi-Square	16.582	6
Likelihood Ratio	17.203	6
N of Valid Cases	165	

Interpretation:
The Chi-Square Test for Independence was used to evaluate whether brand loyalty patterns varied significantly across different age groups. The Pearson Chi-Square value was 16.582, accompanied by 6 degrees of freedom, yielding a p-value of 0.011, which falls below the 0.05 significance threshold. This finding shows that brand loyalty differs by age, with younger consumers (under 30) demonstrating a higher tendency to change brands compared to older individuals. Consequently, we dismiss the null hypothesis (H_0) and embrace the alternative hypothesis (H_2).

Conclusion

This research highlights the significant influence of disruptive innovations on consumer brand loyalty and switching behavior. The results demonstrated that numerous consumers emphasize the importance of consistency in quality, trust, and emotional connections with brands. Innovations, including technological advancements, sustainable solutions, and digital platforms, frequently lead to changes in consumer preferences. Individuals under 30 demonstrate a higher propensity for adopting innovations and a greater openness to exploring new brands, whereas older demographics typically exhibit stronger brand loyalty.

The findings demonstrate that consumer psychology plays a crucial role in determining brand selection.The quality and reliability of a product, in conjunction with its brand values, promote customer loyalty. Competitive pricing and innovative features encourage consumer trial and switching behavior. The findings demonstrate that brand loyalty is dynamic in the contemporary market, significantly shaped by contextual factors and subject to swift alterations in technology and market conditions.

The study encountered limitations stemming from a sample size of 165 participants. This figure is adequate for a significant analysis; however, it may not fully capture the diversity of consumer behavior in broader populations. Research employed mainly self-reported survey data, leading to the response of prejudices to be potentially social desireability and recall inaccuracies. The emphasis on urban and semi-urban participants may limit the applicability of the findings to rural consumer demographics.

Future research could improve this study by utilizing larger and more diverse samples, such as rural consumers and international comparisons, to strengthen the validity of the findings. The integration of qualitative interviews and quantitative surveys enhances the comprehension of the psychological and emotional factors affecting brand loyalty. Longitudinal studies examine the sustained effects of disruptive innovations on consumer behavior, providing essential insights for marketers to develop flexible loyalty strategies in an evolving landscape.

References

1. Anute, N., Tripathi, M., Chawla, N., Havale, D. S., Kalshetti, P., Pathak, G., & Tripathi, S. (2025). Myth, memory, and market: Exploring cultural mythology in consumer brand perceptions. International Journal of Accounting and Economics Studies, 12(6), 100–109. https://doi.org/10.14419/b1kvja84
2. Baldinger, A. L., & Rubinson, J. (1996). Brand Loyalty: The Link between Attitude and Behavior. Journal of Advertising Research, 36(6), 22–34. https://doi.org/10.1080/00218499.1996.12466634
3. Chaudhuri, A., & Holbrook, M. B. (2001). The chain of effects from brand trust and brand affect to brand performance: The role of brand loyalty. Journal of Marketing, 65(2), 81–93. https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.65.2.81.18255
4. Christensen, C. M. (1997). The innovator's dilemma: When new technologies cause great firms to fail. Harvard Business School Press.
5. Dick, A. S., & Basu, K. (1994). Customer loyalty: Toward an integrated conceptual framework. Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 22(2), 99–113. https://doi.org/10.1177/0092070394222001
6. Daniel S, Whan P. C. (1992). The Effects of Brand Extensions on Market Share and Advertising Efficiency. Journal of Marketing Research, 29, 296–313.
7. Keller, K. L. (1993). Conceptualizing, measuring, and managing customer-based brand equity. Journal of Marketing, 57(1), 1–22. https://doi.org/10.1177/002224299305700101
8. Kumar, V., & Reinartz, W. (2006). Customer relationship management: A databased approach. Wiley.
9. Oliver, R. L. (1999). Whence Consumer Loyalty? Journal of Marketing, 63(4_suppl1), 33-44. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022242999063 4s105
10. Reinartz, W., & Kumar, V. (2002). The mismanagement of customer loyalty. Harvard Business Review, 80(7), 86–94. https://hbr.org/2002/07/the-mismanagement-of-customer-loyalty
11. Vargo, S. L., & Lusch, R. F. (2004). Evolving to a new dominant logic for marketing. Journal of Marketing, 68(1), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.68.1.1.24036