The Journal of Asia Entrepreneurship and Sustainability RESEARCH ARTICLE

Preserving Human Capital: A Multi-Theory Perspective on Employee Retention and Sustainable Growth in Indian Private Banks

Anamika Kumari[1], Dr. Sunil Kumar Pandey[2]
[1]Research Scholar, Department of Management Studies, Birla Institute of Technology, Mesra (Patna Campus), anamikasarraf30@gmail.com, https://orcid.org/0009-0001-8929-0758
[2]Assistant Professor, Department of Management Studies, Birla Institute of Technology, Mesra (Patna Campus) skpandey@bitmesra.ac.in, https://orcid.org/0009-0000-1018-4884

Abstract
Private sector banks in India have expanded rapidly into smaller cities but employee attrition remains a concern for their operations and growth. Studies on employee retention in Indian banking have examined several factors but the theories that explain these factors are rarely discussed together. This conceptual paper examines the theoretical foundations of employee retention in Indian private banks and explains how retention relates to the sustainable growth of banks. The paper reviews and integrates five theoretical perspectives: Social Exchange Theory and the organisational support perspective, Human Capital Theory, Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory, the Job Demands-Resources Model and the Resource-Based View. The first four perspectives explain nine organisational factors associated with employee retention: compensation, career growth, recognition, manager support, training and development, working conditions, work-life balance, welfare and social security measures and workplace stress. The Resource-Based View explains why retention matters for the sustainable growth of banks. The paper links each theoretical perspective to the factors it explains, the supporting literature and evidence from banking. It also identifies four tensions between the perspectives concerning training, pay, performance targets and the value of retaining different employees. In addition, it examines the conditions under which each perspective applies. For practice, the paper suggests developing branch managers' people-management skills, linking training with career paths, reviewing the design of performance targets and prioritising the retention of officers who hold key customer relationships. The paper brings together economic, developmental, relational and demand-related explanations of retention within a single discussion focused on Indian private banking. It also presents a future research agenda organised around the TCCM framework.

Keywords: Employee Retention, Sustainable Growth, Social Exchange Theory, Human Capital Theory, Job Demands-Resources Model, Resource-Based View, Private Sector Banks

Introduction

Private sector banks have become a major part of the Indian banking system. In 2023-24, private sector banks employed more people than public sector banks for the first time (RBI, 2024). They have also extended their branch networks to Tier-2 and Tier-3 cities. In these locations, experienced employees play an important role in maintaining customer service and understanding local markets. The RBI reported an average attrition rate of around 25 percent over three years in selected private sector and small finance banks. It noted that high attrition can disrupt customer service, lead to a loss of institutional knowledge and increase recruitment costs. The RBI also highlighted the need for banks to reduce attrition as a strategic priority (RBI, 2024). This makes employee retention in private banks more than an HR issue' it is also important for the organization as a whole.

Previous research on employee retention in Indian banking has examined several factors associated with employees' decisions to stay including pay, career advancement, supervisory support, training and work-life balance (Ghosh & Gurunathan, 2015; Singh et al., 2021; Mittal & Kaur, 2022; Sachdev & Khanna, 2024). These studies provide useful evidence but they generally examine these factors separately or in small combinations. Previous studies often explain why each retention factor matters only briefly or examine it through a single theoretical perspective. A recent review of 93 studies on turnover intention in financial services found that Social Exchange Theory is the most frequently used theoretical perspective. The review also found that non-monetary factors including leadership, justice and job stress are as important as pay (Mohd Fuzi & Umar Baki, 2025). The relationship between employee retention and banks' long-term growth is rarely discussed in theoretical discourse and employee retention is frequently regarded as the study's ultimate result.

This paper addresses this gap by examining the theoretical foundations of employee retention in Indian private banks. It has four aims. First, it discusses five theoretical perspectives in detail and explains their relevance to the work of bank officers. Second, it identifies the retention factors explained by each perspective and shows how the perspectives complement one another. Third, it uses the Resource-

Based View to explain why retention is relevant to the sustainable growth of banks. Fourth, it identifies tensions between the perspectives and examines the conditions under which each applies. The paper concludes by discussing practical implications and outlining an agenda for empirical research.

Employee Retention as an Organisational Outcome

Employee retention refers to employees continuing to work in an organisation and the organisational conditions that influence their decision to stay. It is related to turnover but the two concepts address different questions. Turnover research examines why employees leave whereas retention research examines why they stay (Holtom et al., 2008). Hausknecht et al. (2009) found that employees report several reasons for staying including job satisfaction, extrinsic rewards, organisational commitment, workplace relationships and opportunities for advancement. The job embeddedness perspective offers a broader explanation of why employees stay. Mitchell et al. (2001) linked employees' decisions to stay with their connections to people and activities, their fit with their work and what they would lose if they left. This suggests that retention depends on several aspects of the employment relationship rather than on any single condition. Allen et al. (2010) also argued that organisations need to understand why employees choose to stay before developing effective retention strategies.

Employee retention is particularly important in banking because of the nature of officers' work. Bank officers manage customer service, operational tasks, business targets and compliance responsibilities. As they gain experience, they build relationships with customers and develop knowledge of local markets, products and internal procedures. When an experienced officer leaves, the bank loses not just an employee but also relationships and knowledge that may take considerable time to rebuild. This paper therefore views retention both as an outcome of workplace conditions and as a factor in maintaining the organisational resources needed for continuity.

The Indian Private Banking Context

Growth of Private Banking

The Indian banking sector has changed considerably since the economic reforms of 1991. Private sector banks have entered and expanded while technological developments and growing competition have changed the way banking services are delivered. These changes have also increased expectations of efficiency and customer service. India currently has 21 private sector banks operating alongside public sector, small finance and foreign banks (India Brand Equity Foundation, 2025). In March 2024, scheduled commercial banks employed around 1.78 million people, of whom approximately 0.85 million worked in private sector banks (RBI, 2024). This makes private sector banks an important source of employment in the Indian banking sector.

Characteristics of Officer-Grade Work

Officer-grade employees in private banks handle customer service and operational tasks while meeting business targets for deposits, loans and third-party products. They must also comply with regulatory requirements and meet audit standards. As officers progress to positions such as Deputy Manager and Branch Manager, they take on responsibility for branch performance and staff supervision. A study of Indian bank branch managers identified performance pressure, transfers, surveillance requirements and limited time for family as major sources of stress (Kang & Sandhu, 2011). Stress is also widespread among Indian bank employees more generally (Kumar & Sundaram, 2014). Banking work is also changing. Digital services, evolving customer expectations and competition have increased the importance of employee skills and adaptability. These changes have also affected work processes and performance requirements (Falahat et al., 2019). Understanding the conditions under which officers work is therefore important for explaining why they choose to stay in or leave their organisations.

The Significance of Employee Retention in Private Banking

Over time, bank officers build relationships with customers and knowledge of local markets, products and internal procedures. This knowledge is especially important in branches located in Tier-2 and Tier-3 cities where teams are small and customer relationships are personal. Experienced officers are also sought by competing banks and financial institutions. An officer can move to another bank with little loss of personal value while the bank that loses the officer bears the cost of replacement and of disruption to its customer relationships.

The RBI has identified these consequences of attrition including disruption of customer service, loss of institutional knowledge and higher recruitment costs (RBI, 2024). Retention in private banks therefore has implications both for the employment relationship and for the continuity and growth of the bank. This dual importance makes the theoretical basis of retention a relevant question for the sector.

Theoretical Foundations

No single theory explains all the conditions associated with retention. This section discusses four perspectives that explain why workplace conditions are related to employees' decision to stay. The fifth perspective, the Resource-Based View explains why retention matters for the organisation.

Social Exchange Theory and the Organisational Support Perspective

Social Exchange Theory views the employment relationship as a reciprocal exchange. Blau (1964) distinguished social exchange from purely economic exchange. Social exchange is based on trust, mutual obligation and the expectation of future returns rather than on a fixed contract. Cropanzano and Mitchell (2005) established the theory as a central framework in organisational behaviour. Employees assess how the organisation and its representatives treat them and respond through attitudes and behaviours that reflect this treatment. The organisational support perspective applies this idea to to the organisation as a whole. Perceived organisational support refers to employees' general belief that their organisation values their contributions and cares about their wellbeing (Eisenberger et al., 1986). Fair treatment, supervisor support, organisational rewards and favorable working conditions help shape this belief (Rhoades & Eisenberger, 2002). A meta-analysis of 558 studies linked perceived organisational support with commitment, wellbeing and lower withdrawal (Kurtessis et al., 2017). This perspective helps explain four factors linked to employee retention. Compensation reflects the economic side of the exchange and its fairness affects how employees view their relationship with the organization (Wailliams et al., 2006). Recognition and manager support provide socio-emotional resources that help employees feel valued (Brun & Dugas, 2008; Eisenberger et al., 2002). Welfare and Social Security Measures demonstrate concern for employees' long-term wellbeing (Yamamoto, 2011).

For a bank officer, the branch manager is often the main representative of the organization in daily work. Officers usually receive information about targets, leave, transfers and recognition through their manager. The quality of this relationship can therefore shape how officers perceive the bank as a whole. Supervisory support has been linked with employee retention among Indian private bank employees (Mittal & Kaur, 2022). Officers also compare incentives and salary increments with those offered by competing banks. These comparisons make the perceived fairness of rewards an important part of the exchange relationship.

The theory explains why favourable treatment can encourage employees to stay but it gives less attention to work demands. It also assumes that employees interpret organisational actions as intended. This is not always be the case when policies are applied inconsistently across branches.

Human Capital Theory

Human Capital Theory views knowledge, skills and experience as forms of capital held by individuals (Becker, 1964). Organisations invest in human capital through training while employees develop it through learning and experience. Becker distinguished between general skills which are useful to many employers and specific skills which are mainly valuable to the current employer. The theory explains training and development and career growth. Training strengthens employees' capabilities while career growth provides opportunities to use these capabilities within the organisation (Weng et al., 2010). Benson et al. (2004) showed that development that increases employees' market value can increase turnover risk if it is not accompanied by promotion opportunities. Development and career progression therefore need to be considered together.

Much of the knowledge bank officers acquire is specific to their bank including its products, systems, procedures and customers. Banks develop this knowledge through product and compliance training. However, many banking skills are transferable across banks and experienced officers are in demand. Clear career paths are therefore important for retaining officers after the bank has invested in their development. Studies of banks have linked career development and training with retention-related outcomes (Alzghoul & Khaddam, 2024; Ban & Anuar, 2024).

The theory focuses on skills and their economic value. It gives less attention to the relational and emotional reasons employees stay. It also gives less attention to whether the quality of training rather than simply its availability matters to employees (Noe & Schmitt, 1986).

Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory

Herzberg et al. (1959) argued that the factors that cause dissatisfaction are different from those that cause satisfaction. Hygiene factors such as salary, supervision, company policies and working conditions help prevent dissatisfaction when they are adequate. Motivators such as recognition, achievement, responsibility and advancement encourage positive attitudes towards work. The theory distinguishes compensation and working conditions which fall under the hygiene dimension from recognition and career growth which fall under the motivator dimension. It suggests that some workplace conditions reduce employees' reasons to leave without providing a reason to stay.

Branch infrastructure, seating, noise and the reliability of systems are hygiene conditions that affect officers' daily work (Morgeson & Humphrey, 2006). The theory suggests that these conditions become particularly important when they fall below an acceptable level. In contrast, recognition of effort and opportunities for advancement give officers a positive reason to stay with the organisation.

The empirical basis of the theory has been questioned. House and Wigdor (1967) argued that the distinction between hygiene factors and motivators was not consistently supported. Pay, for example can act both as a source of dissatisfaction and as a recognition of achievement. For this reason, the theory should be considered alongside other perspectives rather than used as the only explanation

of employee retention.

Job Demands-Resources Model

The Job Demands-Resources Model classifies job characteristics into two categories: job demands and job resources (Bakker & Demerouti, 2007). Job demands require sustained physical or psychological effort and are associated with costs such as fatigue and strain. Job resources help employees achieve work goals, reduce the effects of demands and support motivation. The model therefore proposes two processes: a motivational process driven by resources and a strain process driven by demands (Bakker & Demerouti, 2017). The model treats workplace stress as a job demand and work-life balance as a condition that helps employees manage work demands. It also provides a common framework for understanding resource-related factors discussed in other theories including support, training and recognition. Job demands experienced as hindrances are associated with higher turnover intention (Podsakoff et al., 2007). Perceived stress reflects the extent to which individuals experience their circumstances as unpredictable, uncontrollable and overwhelming (Cohen et al., 1983).

Bank officers face business targets, compliance requirements, customer pressure and extended working hours. A review of work-related stress in banking reported high stress levels and links with burnout and turnover (Giorgi et al., 2017). Job stress has also been associated with turnover intention among bank employees (Lin et al., 2024) while work-life balance has been linked to retention in banking (Sindhuja & Subramanian, 2020; Herawaty et al., 2024). Job demands also increase with responsibility as branch managers report specific stressors related to performance and supervision (Kang & Sandhu, 2011).

The model does not specify in advance which job characteristics will act as demands or resources in a particular setting. Not all demands are harmful as challenging demands can also be motivating (Podsakoff et al., 2007). Applying the model to employee retention therefore requires an understanding of how employees experience their work in a given setting.

Integration of Theoretical Perspectives

The four perspectives discussed above explain different parts of the retention decision. Table 1 brings them together with the Resource-Based View. For each perspective, it shows the central argument, the retention factors it explains, the supporting literature and evidence from banking.

Table 1 Integration of Theoretical Perspectives

Theory	Central Argument	Factors Derived	Supporting Literature	Evidence from Banking
Social Exchange Theory and organisational support	Employees reciprocate favourable treatment by the organisation and its managers through continued membership	Compensation; Recognition; Manager Support; Welfare and Social Security Measures	Blau (1964); Eisenberger et al. (1986); Rhoades & Eisenberger (2002); Cropanzano & Mitchell (2005); Williams et al. (2006); Brun & Dugas (2008); Yamamoto (2011); Kurtessis et al. (2017)	Ghosh & Gurunathan (2015); Mittal & Kaur (2022); Sachdev & Khanna (2024); Subedi et al. (2024); An et al. (2026)
Human Capital Theory	Knowledge and skills are capital built through investment; employees stay where their skills are developed and used	Training and Development; Career Growth	Becker (1964); Noe & Schmitt (1986); Benson et al. (2004); Weng et al. (2010)	Singh et al. (2021); Alzghoul & Khaddam (2024); Ban & Anuar (2024)
Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory	Hygiene factors prevent dissatisfaction; motivators encourage positive attitudes	Compensation and Working Conditions (hygiene); Recognition and Career Growth (motivators)	Herzberg et al. (1959); House & Wigdor (1967); Morgeson & Humphrey (2006)	Sachdev & Khanna (2024); Hasan & Mishra (2025)
Job Demands–Resources	Resources support motivation;	Stress at Workplace	Cohen et al. (1983); Bakker & Demerouti	Kang & Sandhu (2011); Kumar &

Theory	Central Argument	Factors Derived	Supporting Literature	Evidence from Banking
Model	excessive demands lead to strain and withdrawal	(demand); Work-Life Balance (resource); other resource factors	(2007, 2017); Podsakoff et al. (2007); Brough et al. (2014); Chen et al. (2024)	Sundaram (2014); Giorgi et al. (2017); Sindhuja & Subramanian (2020); Herawaty et al. (2024); Lin et al. (2024)
Resource-Based View	Valuable and inimitable resources sustain advantage; human capital remains available only if employees stay	Link between Employee Retention and Sustainable Growth	Barney (1991); Wright et al. (1994); Coff (1997); Dess & Shaw (2001); Ployhart & Moliterno (2011); Campbell et al. (2012)	Hancock et al. (2013); Park & Shaw (2013); RBI (2024); Salman et al. (2024)

The perspectives complement one another in three ways. First, some factors are explained by more than one perspective. Compensation is explained by Social Exchange Theory and also falls under the hygiene dimension of Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory. Career growth is relevant to Human Capital Theory and also functions as a motivator. Recognition is a socio-emotional resource within the organisational support perspective and also a motivator. This overlap provides a stronger theoretical basis for including these factors in a model of employee retention. Table 2 presents the overlap across all nine factors.

Table 2 Theory-Factor Matrix

Factor	Social Exchange Theory and organisational support	Human Capital Theory	Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory	Job Demands–Resources Model
Recognition	Socio-emotional resource	-	Motivator	Job resource
Manager Support	Socio-emotional resource	-	Hygiene (supervision)	Job resource
Compensation	Economic side of the exchange	-	Hygiene	-
Career Growth	-	Use of developed skills	Motivator	-
Training and Development	-	Investment in skills	-	Job resource
Welfare and Social Security Measures	Concern for long-term wellbeing	-	-	-
Working Conditions	-	-	Hygiene	-
Work-Life Balance	-	-	-	Resource for managing demands
Stress at Workplace	-	-	-	Job demand

The matrix identifies two groups of factors. Recognition and manager support are explained by three perspectives while compensation, career growth and training are explained by two. These factors therefore have a broad theoretical basis. In contrast, welfare measures, working conditions, work-life balance and workplace stress are each explained mainly by one perspective. Their role in retention depends more heavily on the assumptions of that perspective. These factors therefore require

particular attention during empirical testing.

Second, each perspective addresses gaps left by the others. Social Exchange Theory explains how favourable treatment influences employees but gives less attention to work demands. The Job Demands-Resources Model addresses this aspect. A study of frontline bank employees in China illustrates how these two perspectives can work together. Emotional demands were associated with turnover intention through burnout and perceived organisational support weakened their effect on burnout (An et al., 2026). Human Capital Theory explains the role of employee development but gives less attention to the relational reasons for staying. The organisational support perspective addresses these reasons. Herzberg's Theory adds a distinction between conditions that help prevent employees from leaving and factors that encourage them to stay.

Third, the perspectives collectively cover four broad aspects of employment:

- Economic Rewards: Compensation and the financial value of welfare provisions.
- Development and Progression: Training and development and Career Growth.
- Relationships and Recognition: Manager Support and Recognition.
- Working Conditions and Demands: Working Conditions, Work-Life Balance and Workplace Stress.

A retention model for bank officers based on only one perspective is therefore likely to overlook important employment conditions. The integrated approach suggests that employee retention depends on several conditions working together and should be examined accordingly.

Employee Retention and Sustainable Growth: The Resource-Based View

Sustainable Growth

Sustainable growth intends that an organization can maintain and improve its performance over time while preserving the resources and capabilities needed to support it. It focuses on long-term stability, continuity and value creation rather than on short-term financial expansion alone. The sustainable human resource management perspective similarly links HR practices to the long-term viability of organisations (Kramar, 2014).

Human Resources as Strategic Resources

The Resource-Based View argues that organisations can achieve sustained competitive advantage through resources that are valuable, rare, difficult to imitate and difficult to substitute (Barney, 1991). Wright et al. (1994) argued that human resources can meet these conditions. Employees contribute knowledge, skills and experience to organisational processes and some of this knowledge is specific to the organisation. Employees also contribute social capital through relationships that help coordinate work. Voluntary turnover can therefore weaken organisational performance by reducing both human and social capital (Dess & Shaw, 2001; Shaw et al., 2005). However, organisations do not own their human capital. They can benefit from its value only while employees choose to remain with them (Coff, 1997). Campbell et al. (2012) argued that human capital becomes a source of sustained advantage when its mobility is limited. Retention therefore helps organisations preserve valuable human resources. Meta-analytic evidence linking higher turnover with weaker organisational performance supports this view although the strength of this relationship varies across settings (Hancock et al., 2013; Park & Shaw, 2013).

The Individual-Level Foundation

The Resource-Based View is a firm-level theory but its arguments about human capital are based on individual employees. Firm-level human capital develops from the knowledge, skills and behaviours of individuals (Ployhart & Moliterno, 2011). Employees who observe how the departure of experienced colleagues affects customers, service continuity and the workload of remaining staff can therefore assess how employee retention contributes to their organisation's growth.

The Resource-Based View in the Private Banking Context

In a bank branch, important resources often include customer relationships and knowledge of local conditions, products and procedures. These resources develop over years of service and cannot be transferred quickly to a new officer. When an experienced officer leaves, the bank lose customer relationships, experience service disruptions and incur recruitment and training costs as noted by the RBI (2024). Evidence from Indian banks also shows that training and development and performance appraisal are positively related to perceived organisational performance (Salman et al., 2024). In growing banks that are expanding into smaller cities such losses can also slow the development of new branches.

Retention alone does not determine organisational growth and not every departure is harmful. Some turnover can introduces new skills or helps remove poor performers. Nevertheless, the Resource-Based View explains why retention is expected to support the continuity of resources that sustain growth in service settings that depend on experience and relationships. It also explains why retention should be examined as a link between workplace conditions and organisational outcomes rather than only as a final outcome.

Theoretical Tensions and Boundary Conditions

The integration of the perspectives showed how they complement each other. However, they also lead to different expectations about some factors. These tensions are important because they help identify the

conditions under which a factor supports employee retention and those under which it does not. Four tensions are particularly relevant to private banks.

Training and Mobility

Social Exchange Theory suggests that employees respond to organisational investment in their development with loyalty. Human Capital Theory propounds a different explanation. Training that builds general skills also make employees more attractive to other employers. Becker (1964) argued that firms have little incentive to fund such training. Benson et al. (2004) found that employees who completed employer-funded degrees were more likely to leave but this likelihood decreased after they were promoted. This tension is particularly relevant to banking. Much of what officers learn including credit appraisal, sales, compliance and customer handling is useful across banks. Career growth plays an important role in shaping how training influences employee retention. When employees receive clear opportunities for advancement after completing training, they may be more likely to stay with the organisation. This reflects the social exchange perspective. However, when such opportunities are limited, employees may use their newly acquired skills to look for better opportunities elsewhere. This reflects the human capital perspective.

The Dual Role of Compensation

According to Herzberg et al. (1959), salary helps prevent dissatisfaction but does not necessarily encourage employees to stay. In contrast, Social Exchange Theory views compensation as part of the exchange relationship and as a signal of how much the organisation values an employee (Williams et al., 2006). House and Wigdor (1967) noted that pay can serve both purposes. A recent study across four sectors in Bangladesh including banking also found that both hygiene factors and motivators were related to retention (Hasan & Mishra, 2025). In private banks, compensation includes a fixed component and a variable component linked to targets. Fixed pay is closer to the hygiene role because it matters mainly when it falls below the level received by officers in other banks. Incentive pay linked to achievement is closer to the signalling role because it communicates how the bank values an officer's contribution. The effect of compensation therefore depends on its form. Studies should not treat compensation as a single, undifferentiated factor.

Targets as Challenge and Hindrance Demands

The Job Demands-Resources Model views job demands as a potential source of strain. However, Podsakoff et al. (2007) showed that challenge demands which provide opportunities for achievement and growth are related to job attitudes differently from hindrance demands which obstruct goal achievement. Bakker and Demerouti (2017) also noted that employees' experience of job demands depends on the resources available to them. Chen et al. (2024) found that demands perceived as challenges were associated with lower turnover intention whereas demands perceived as threats were associated with higher turnover intention. Business targets are central to bank officers' work and their effects can vary. Targets that officers consider achievable when supported by adequate resources and control over their work can act as challenges. By contrast, targets that seem unpredictable or beyond their control are more likely to act as hindrances and sources of stress (Cohen et al., 1983). What matters most is whether officers have the resources they need and feel they have control over their targets.

Functional and Dysfunctional Turnover

The Resource-Based View helps explain why employee retention matters for preserving valuable human capital. However, it dos not mean that retaining every employee is equally important. Research combining results from multiple studies shows that the relationship between turnover and organisational performance varies across settings (Park & Shaw, 2013). Hausknecht et al. (2009) also found that high and low performers have different reasons for staying. This suggests that retention efforts should be tailored to employees rather than applied in the same way to everyone. In banking, the loss may be greater when a departing officer has strong customer relationships or valuable knowledge of local markets particularly in small branches in Tier-2 and Tier-3 cities. What matters, therefore, is not just how many employees leave but also who leaves and what knowledge or relationships they take with them.

These tensions show that the effect of a retention factor depends on the circumstances in which it operates. Additionally. They serve as valuable conceptual baselines for subsequent empirical inquiry.

Implications for Practice

The theoretical framework outlines multiple avenues for improving employee retention among private bank officers with each distinct approach dictating unique practical implementations.

- Develop branch managers as people managers (Social Exchange Theory). Officers often judge the bank through their branch manager. Training managers to recognize employees' efforts and apply policies on leave, transfers and targets fairly can strengthen the exchange relationship.
- Keep pay fair and transparent (Social Exchange Theory and Herzberg's Theory). Fixed pay should remain competitive with what other banks offer so that it does not become a reason to leave. Incentive rules should also be clear allowing employees to

view variable pay as a reward for achievement rather than as an uncertain reward.

- Link training with career paths (Human Capital Theory). Banks can link training and certifications to clear promotion criteria and timelines. This can reduce the risk that employee development increases mobility rather than retention.
- Review target design and workload (Job Demands-Resources model). Targets that are realistic, discussed with officers and supported by adequate branch staffing are more likely to be experienced as challenges. Predictable working hours and access to leave can also help officers manage job demands.
- Maintain basic working conditions (Herzberg's Theory). Reliable systems, adequate infrastructure and a reasonable working environment can help prevent dissatisfaction. These conditions are necessary but they are unlikely to retain officers on their own.
- Focus retention efforts where losses are greatest (Resource-Based View). Banks can identify officers who hold important customer relationships or valuable local knowledge, particularly in Tier-2 and Tier-3 branches and prioritise their retention. As the RBI (2024) has suggested, attrition can also be treated as a strategic concern rather than merely an HR measure.

Future Research Agenda

The theoretical discussion highlights several directions for future research on employee retention in Indian private banks. Table 3 presents these research directions using the Theory-context-Characteristics-Methodology (TCCM) framework (Paul & Rosado-Serrano, 2019). In this framework, theory refers to the perspectives to be tested, context refers to the settings to be studied, characteristics refers to the factors and outcomes to be examined and methodology refers to the research designs to be used.

Table 3 Future Research Agenda

Dimension	Research Direction	Illustrative Research Questions
Theory	Test the perspectives together	Do the nine factors identified remain related to retention when they are tested within one measurement and structural model? Which factor is the strongest when the others are controlled?
	Test the tensions	Does career growth decide whether training increases retention or mobility? Do fixed pay and incentive pay relate to retention differently?
	Test the retention–growth link	Is employee retention related to employees' perceptions of the sustainable growth of their bank, as the Resource-Based View suggests?
Context	Compare regions	Do the factors associated with retention differ between metropolitan centres and Tier-2 and Tier-3 cities?
	Compare types of banks	Do the relationships differ between private, public sector and small finance banks?
Characteristics	Identify enablers and barriers	Which factors do officers experience as enablers, neutral conditions or barriers? How does this classification compare with the strength of each factor's relationship with retention?
	Examine group differences	Do the relationships differ by gender, job level or length of service?
	Separate types of demands	Do challenge demands and hindrance demands, such as achievable and unrealistic targets, relate to retention in opposite directions?
Methodology	Use multivariate and multi-group designs	Confirmatory factor analysis and structural equation modelling can test the factors together, and multi-group analysis can test differences across groups.
	Test mechanisms	Do perceived organisational support and organisational commitment explain how the factors relate to retention?
	Use longitudinal and multilevel data	Do the factors predict actual turnover over time? Is retention related to branch- or bank-level indicators such as deposit growth, customer retention and productivity?

Conclusion

Employee attrition in Indian private banks has consequences for customer service, institutional knowledge and long-term growth. This paper examined the theoretical foundations of employee retention in this setting. It discussed Social Exchange Theory and the organisational support perspective, Human Capital Theory, Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory and the Job Demands-Resources Model and showed that together they explain nine organisational factors associated with retention. The Resource-Based View explains why retention is relevant to the sustainable growth of banks.

The discussion suggests four conclusions. First, retention in private banks reflects several conditions operating together. Second, each theory explains only part of the retention decision and an integrated view is needed to capture it fully. Third, retention matters not only to employees but also to the continuity of knowledge and relationships on which banks depend. Fourth, the effect of some factors depends on conditions such as career growth, the form of pay and the resources available to meet targets. Empirical testing of these ideas among bank officers would help banks identify the conditions that most influence retention and support their long-term growth.

References

1. Allen, D. G., Bryant, P. C., & Vardaman, J. M. (2010). Retaining talent: Replacing misconceptions with evidence-based strategies. Academy of Management Perspectives, 24(2), 48–64. https://doi.org/10.5465/amp.24.2.48
2. Alzghoul, A., & Khaddam, A. A. (2024). Enhancing employee retention in banks: Analyzing the role of talent management, career development, and bank culture. Banks and Bank Systems, 19(4), 96–111. https://doi.org/10.21511/bbs.19(4).2024.08
3. An, J., Yin, R., & Wang, Y. (2026). The impact of emotional labor on turnover intention: The mediating role of job burnout and the moderating role of perceived organisational support. Frontiers in Psychology, 17, 1844598. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2026.1844598
4. Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2007). The job demands-resources model: State of the art. Journal of Managerial Psychology, 22(3), 309–328. https://doi.org/10.1108/02683940710733115
5. Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2017). Job demands–resources theory: Taking stock and looking forward. Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 22(3), 273–285. https://doi.org/10.1037/ocp0000056
6. Ban, R. B. H., & Anuar, A. (2024). The determinants of turnover intention among employees in banking industry. Environment and Social Psychology, 9(9), 2975. https://doi.org/10.59429/esp.v9i9.2975
7. Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. Journal of Management, 17(1), 99–120. https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700108
8. Becker, G. S. (1964). Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis, with special reference to education. National Bureau of Economic Research.
9. Benson, G. S., Finegold, D., & Mohrman, S. A. (2004). You paid for the skills, now keep them: Tuition reimbursement and voluntary turnover. Academy of Management Journal, 47(3), 315–331.
10. Blau, P. M. (1964). Exchange and power in social life. Wiley.
11. Brough, P., Timms, C., O'Driscoll, M. P., Kalliath, T., Siu, O.-L., Sit, C., & Lo, D. (2014). Work–life balance: A longitudinal evaluation of a new measure across Australian and New Zealand workers. International Journal of Human Resource Management, 25(19), 2724–2744. https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2014.899262
12. Brun, J.-P., & Dugas, N. (2008). An analysis of employee recognition: Perspectives on human resources practices. International Journal of Human Resource Management, 19(4), 716–730. https://doi.org/10.1080/09585190801953723
13. Campbell, B. A., Coff, R., & Kryscynski, D. (2012). Rethinking sustained competitive advantage from human capital. The Academy of Management Review, 37(3), 376–395. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2010.0276
14. Chen, Q., Chen, M., Lin, L., & Bai, X. (2024). The challenge–hindrance–threat appraisal framework and the differential effects on employees' work well-being and behaviors. Behavioral Sciences, 14(9), 734. https://doi.org/10.3390/bs14090734
15. Coff, R. W. (1997). Human Assets and Management Dilemmas: Coping with Hazards on the Road to Resource-Based Theory. The Academy of Management Review, 22(2), 374–402. https://doi.org/10.2307/259327
16. Cohen, S., Kamarck, T., & Mermelstein, R. (1983). A global measure of perceived stress. Journal of Health and Social Behavior, 24(4), 385–396. https://doi.org/10.2307/2136404
17. Cropanzano, R., & Mitchell, M. S. (2005). Social exchange theory: An interdisciplinary review. Journal of Management, 31(6), 874–900.

https://doi.org/10.1177/01492063052796
02
18. Dess, G. G., & Shaw, J. D. (2001). Voluntary turnover, social capital, and organisational performance. Academy of Management Review, 26(3), 446–456.
19. Eisenberger, R., Huntington, R., Hutchison, S., & Sowa, D. (1986). Perceived organisational support. Journal of Applied Psychology, 71(3), 500–507. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.71.3.500
20. Eisenberger, R., Stinglhamber, F., Vandenberghe, C., Sucharski, I. L., & Rhoades, L. (2002). Perceived supervisor support: Contributions to perceived organisational support and employee retention. Journal of Applied Psychology, 87(3), 565–573. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.87.3.565
21. Falahat, M., Gee, S. K., & Liew, C. M. (2019). A model for turnover intention: Banking industry in Malaysia. Asian Academy of Management Journal, 24(Suppl. 2), 79–91. https://doi.org/10.21315/aamj2019.24.s2.6
22. Ghosh, D., & Gurunathan, L. (2015). Do commitment based human resource practices influence job embeddedness and intention to quit? IIMB Management Review, 27(4), 240–251. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iimb.2015.09.003
23. Giorgi, G., Arcangeli, G., Perminiene, M., Lorini, C., Ariza-Montes, A., Fiz-Perez, J., Di Fabio, A., & Mucci, N. (2017). Work-related stress in the banking sector: A review of incidence, correlated factors, and major consequences. Frontiers in Psychology, 8, Article 2166. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.02166
24. Hancock, J. I., Allen, D. G., Bosco, F. A., McDaniel, K. R., & Pierce, C. A. (2013). Meta-analytic review of employee turnover as a predictor of firm performance. Journal of Management, 39(3), 573–603. https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206311424943
25. Hasan, M. M., & Mishra, V. (2025). Impact of Herzberg's two-factor theory and its influence on employee retention: A sectoral comparative study in Bangladesh. Kelaniya Journal of Human Resource Management, 20(1), 40–72. https://doi.org/10.4038/kjhrm.v20i1.152
26. Hausknecht, J. P., Rodda, J., & Howard, M. J. (2009). Targeted employee retention: Performance-based and job-related differences in reported reasons for staying. Human Resource Management, 48(2), 269–288. https://doi.org/10.1002/hrm.20279
27. Herawaty, M. T., Asmadi, I., Rahayu, E. I. H., & Zahra, Z. (2024). Impact of work-life balance, job satisfaction, and stress levels on employee retention in banking sector companies. International Journal of Business, Law, and Education, 5(2), 2405–2417. https://doi.org/10.56442/ijble.v5i2.875
28. Herzberg, F., Mausner, B., & Snyderman, B. B. (1959). The motivation to work. Wiley.
29. Holtom, B. C., Mitchell, T. R., Lee, T. W., & Eberly, M. B. (2008). Turnover and retention research: A glance at the past, a closer review of the present, and a venture into the future. Academy of Management Annals, 2(1), 231–274. https://doi.org/10.5465/19416520802211552
30. House, R. J., & Wigdor, L. A. (1967). Herzberg's dual-factor theory of job satisfaction and motivation: A review of the evidence and a criticism. Personnel Psychology, 20(4), 369–390.
31. India Brand Equity Foundation. (2025). Banking in India: Growth, trends, and opportunities. https://www.ibef.org/industry/banking-india
32. Kang, L. S., & Sandhu, R. S. (2011). Job & Family Related Stressors among Bank Branch Managers in India. Indian Journal of Industrial Relations, 47(2), 329–340. http://www.jstor.org/stable/23070580
33. Kramar, R. (2014). Beyond strategic human resource management: Is sustainable human resource management the next approach? International Journal of Human Resource Management, 25(8), 1069–1089. https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2013.816863
34. Kumar, S. G., & Sundaram, N. D. (2014). Prevalence of stress level among bank employees in urban Puducherry, India. Industrial Psychiatry Journal, 23(1), 15–17. https://doi.org/10.4103/0972-6748.144938
35. Kurtessis, J. N., Eisenberger, R., Ford, M. T., Buffardi, L. C., Stewart, K. A., & Adis, C. S. (2017). Perceived organisational support: A meta-analytic evaluation of organisational support theory. Journal of Management, 43(6), 1854–1884. https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206315575554
36. Lin, M.-H., Yen, Y.-H., Chuang, T.-F., Yang, P.-S., & Chuang, M.-D. (2024). The impact of job stress on job satisfaction and turnover intentions among bank employees during the COVID-19 pandemic. Frontiers in Psychology, 15, 1482968. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1482968
37. Mitchell, T. R., Holtom, B. C., Lee, T. W.,

Sablynski, C. J., & Erez, M. (2001). Why people stay: Using job embeddedness to predict voluntary turnover. Academy of Management Journal, 44(6), 1102–1121. https://doi.org/10.5465/3069391

38. Mittal, E., & Kaur, N. (2022). Articulation of employee retention through supervisory support: A serial-mediation investigation. Human Systems Management, 41(6), 717–729. https://doi.org/10.3233/HSM-211560
39. Mohd Fuzi, N. A., & Umar Baki, N. (2025). Understanding turnover intentions in the financial services sector: A systematic review of the quit mindset. Sage Open, 15(4). https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440251385892
40. Morgeson, F. P., & Humphrey, S. E. (2006). The Work Design Questionnaire (WDQ): Developing and validating a comprehensive measure for assessing job design and the nature of work. Journal of Applied Psychology, 91(6), 1321–1339. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.91.6.1321
41. Noe, R. A., & Schmitt, N. (1986). The influence of trainee attitudes on training effectiveness: Test of a model. Personnel Psychology, 39(3), 497–523. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.1986.tb00950.x
42. Park, T.-Y., & Shaw, J. D. (2013). Turnover rates and organisational performance: A meta-analysis. Journal of Applied Psychology, 98(2), 268–309. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0030723
43. Paul, J., & Rosado-Serrano, A. (2019). Gradual internationalization vs born-global/international new venture models: A review and research agenda. International Marketing Review, 36(6), 830–858. https://doi.org/10.1108/IMR-10-2018-0280
44. Ployhart, R. E., & Moliterno, T. P. (2011). Emergence of the human capital resource: A multilevel model. The Academy of Management Review, 36(1), 127–150. https://doi.org/10.5465/AMR.2011.55662569
45. Podsakoff, N. P., LePine, J. A., & LePine, M. A. (2007). Differential challenge stressor–hindrance stressor relationships with job attitudes, turnover intentions, turnover, and withdrawal behavior: A meta-analysis. Journal of Applied Psychology, 92(2), 438–454. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.92.2.438
46. Reserve Bank of India. (2024). Report on trend and progress of banking in India 2023–24. Reserve Bank of India.
47. Rhoades, L., & Eisenberger, R. (2002). Perceived organisational support: A review of the literature. Journal of Applied Psychology, 87(4), 698–714. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.87.4.698
48. Sachdev, N., & Khanna, N. (2024). Retaining employees in Indian private banking sector. Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting, 24(9), 85–97. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajeba/2024/v24i91478
49. Salman, M., Anwar, I., Ganie, S. A., & Saleem, I. (2024). Impact of human resource management practices on organisational performance: Evidence from the Indian banking industry. Management and Labour Studies, 49(1), 97–118. https://doi.org/10.1177/0258042X231187224
50. Shaw, J. D., Duffy, M. K., Johnson, J. L., & Lockhart, D. E. (2005). Turnover, social capital losses, and performance. Academy of Management Journal, 48(4), 594–606. https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2005.17843940
51. Sindhuja, K., & Subramanian, S. S. (2020). Impact of work-life balance on employee retention: A study on the banking sector. International Journal of Management, 7(3), 78–81. https://doi.org/10.34293/management.v7i3.1629
52. Singh, N., Sharma, L. S., & Aier, B. (2021). Proposition and validation of retention model for managers in select Indian private sector banks. NMIMS Management Review, 29(3), 59–95. https://doi.org/10.53908/NMMR.290303
53. Subedi, R., Bhaumik, A., & Neupane, S. (2024). Factors affecting employee retention: An empirical study in Nepalese commercial banks. Banks and Bank Systems, 19(3), 164–173. https://doi.org/10.21511/bbs.19(3).2024.14
54. Weng, Q., McElroy, J. C., Morrow, P. C., & Liu, R. (2010). The relationship between career growth and organisational commitment. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 77(3), 391–400. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2010.05.003
55. Williams, M. L., McDaniel, M. A., & Nguyen, N. T. (2006). A meta-analysis of the antecedents and consequences of pay level satisfaction. Journal of Applied Psychology, 91(2), 392–413. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.91.2.392
56. Wright, P. M., McMahan, G. C., & McWilliams, A. (1994). Human resources and sustained competitive advantage: A resource-based perspective. International Journal of Human Resource Management, 5(2), 301–326. https://doi.org/10.1080/09585199400000

020
57. Yamamoto, H. (2011). The relationship between employee benefit management and employee retention. International Journal of Human Resource Management, 22(17), 3550–3564. https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2011.560871