

Strategic Succession Planning in Family Businesses: Drivers of Intergenerational Continuity and Sustainable Competitive Advantage



Dr. Partha Priya Das¹, Dr. Moni Deepa Das², Praduman Pradhan³, Shikha Christy Horo⁴, Minakshee Priya⁵, Sabya Sharma⁶

¹Associate Professor; School of Commerce & Management; ARKA Jain University, Jamshedpur, Mail ID : dr.partha@arkajainuniversity.ac.in

²Assistant Professor; Dept. of Commerce; Jamshedpur Workers' College, Jamshedpur, Mail ID: mdeepajep14@gmail.com

³Research Scholar; ARKA Jain University, Jamshedpur, Mail ID: Pradumnapradhan.9798@gmail.com

⁴Research Scholar; ARKA Jain University, Jamshedpur, Mail ID: shikha2952@gmail.com

⁵Research Scholar; ARKA Jain University, Jamshedpur, Mail ID: minakshipriya17@gmail.com

⁶Research Scholar; ARKA Jain University, Jamshedpur, Mail ID: sharmasabya146@gmail.com

Abstract

Family businesses constitute nearly 70–90% of enterprises worldwide and contribute significantly to employment generation, national income, innovation, and regional economic development. Despite their economic importance, only a small proportion of family firms successfully transition from one generation to the next due to inadequate succession planning, leadership conflicts, governance issues, and resistance to organizational change. Strategic succession planning has therefore emerged as one of the most critical determinants of long-term organizational sustainability and competitive advantage. This study examines the drivers influencing successful intergenerational succession in family businesses and investigates how succession planning contributes to sustainable competitive advantage. The study adopts a quantitative descriptive research design using primary data collected from 54 owners, successors, and senior managers of family-owned enterprises across manufacturing, retail, trading, and service sectors. Data were collected through a structured questionnaire based on a five-point Likert scale. The analysis employs simple statistical techniques including frequency distribution, percentage analysis, mean scores, standard deviation, and rank analysis to facilitate practical interpretation without relying on advanced statistical software. The findings indicate that early succession planning, effective leadership development, transparent communication, governance mechanisms, successor competency, family harmony, professional management practices, and organizational culture significantly influence succession success. Among these, successor competency and structured leadership development emerged as the highest-ranked determinants. The study concludes that succession planning should be viewed as a continuous strategic process rather than a one-time leadership transfer. The research contributes to the literature on family business management by proposing practical recommendations that can assist business owners, policymakers, consultants, and educators in enhancing intergenerational continuity while sustaining long-term organizational competitiveness.

Keywords: Family Business, Strategic Succession Planning, Intergenerational Continuity, Leadership Development, Sustainable Competitive Advantage

1. Introduction

Family-owned businesses are among the most significant contributors to the global economy, accounting for a substantial share of employment, entrepreneurship, innovation, and wealth creation. Across both developed and emerging economies, including India, Germany, Japan, Italy, and the United States, family enterprises play a vital role in sectors such as manufacturing, retail, agriculture, healthcare, and services. Their long-term success is often attributed to strong family values, entrepreneurial commitment, customer trust, and a long-term strategic orientation.

Despite these strengths, succession remains one of the greatest challenges facing family businesses. While many enterprises are successfully established by

founders, only a limited number survive beyond the second and third generations. Ineffective succession planning, inadequate leadership preparation, family conflicts, governance issues, and differing strategic priorities often disrupt business continuity and reduce organizational performance.

Strategic succession planning is therefore viewed as a continuous process rather than a single leadership transition. It involves identifying and developing future leaders, transferring organizational knowledge, establishing governance mechanisms, and preparing successors to manage future business challenges. Effective succession planning enhances organizational stability, preserves institutional knowledge, strengthens stakeholder confidence, and contributes

to long-term competitive advantage.

The growing complexity of the business environment—including globalization, digital transformation, technological change, and increasing market competition—has further emphasized the need for well-prepared future leaders. Family businesses must combine traditional family values with professional management practices to ensure sustainability and adaptability in a rapidly changing environment.

In India, where family-owned enterprises constitute a major segment of the private sector, strategic succession planning has become increasingly important. Successful business groups have demonstrated that planned leadership transitions support organizational resilience and sustained growth, whereas poorly managed succession often results in ownership disputes, declining performance, and business instability.

The Resource-Based View (RBV) suggests that unique organizational resources such as leadership capability, reputation, organizational culture, and tacit knowledge create sustainable competitive advantage. Likewise, Stewardship Theory proposes that family successors often prioritize long-term organizational success over personal interests, thereby strengthening business continuity. These theoretical perspectives provide a useful foundation for understanding succession planning in family businesses.

Although previous studies have extensively examined family business succession, empirical evidence from emerging economies remains limited. Moreover, many studies focus primarily on succession outcomes rather than the strategic factors that influence successful intergenerational continuity. This study addresses this gap by examining the key drivers of strategic succession planning and their contribution to sustainable competitive advantage using a practical quantitative approach. The findings are expected to provide valuable insights for family business owners, managers, policymakers, and researchers while contributing to the growing literature on family business sustainability.

2. Literature Review

Family business succession has emerged as one of the most significant areas of research in entrepreneurship and strategic management. While family firms contribute substantially to national economies, successful transfer of leadership across generations remains a major challenge. Researchers have examined succession from different theoretical perspectives, including the Resource-Based View (RBV), Agency Theory, Stewardship Theory, Socio-emotional Wealth (SEW), and Dynamic Capability Theory. The following review synthesizes major empirical and conceptual studies relevant to strategic succession planning.

2.1 Founder's Influence on Succession

Sharma, Chrisman, and Chua (2003) argued that

succession planning is not merely the transfer of ownership but a strategic process involving leadership development, governance, and organizational continuity. They emphasized that founders' willingness to delegate authority significantly determines succession success. Their work established succession planning as a multidimensional strategic activity rather than a single event.

2.2 Strategic Planning and Business Continuity

Handler (1994) viewed succession as a gradual process requiring organizational preparation over several years. The study suggested that firms adopting formal succession strategies experience smoother leadership transitions and lower organizational uncertainty. Early planning also minimizes operational disruptions and strengthens stakeholder confidence.

2.3 Successor Competency Development

Cabrera-Suárez, De Saá-Pérez, and García-Almeida (2001) highlighted the importance of knowledge transfer between generations. Their research demonstrated that successor competence depends on continuous mentoring, organizational exposure, and experiential learning. Tacit knowledge accumulated by founders represents a valuable strategic asset that should be systematically transferred.

2.4 Resource-Based View and Competitive Advantage

Barney (1991) proposed that sustainable competitive advantage arises from valuable, rare, inimitable, and non-substitutable (VRIN) resources. In family firms, reputation, trust, organizational culture, and accumulated knowledge constitute strategic resources supporting long-term competitiveness. Effective succession planning preserves these unique organizational capabilities.

2.5 Stewardship Theory

Davis, Schoorman, and Donaldson (1997) introduced Stewardship Theory, suggesting that family successors often prioritize organizational welfare over personal interests. Their study argued that trust-based governance enhances long-term performance compared to excessive monitoring mechanisms. Family commitment strengthens organizational continuity during succession.

2.6 Agency Theory Perspective

Jensen and Meckling (1976) emphasized potential conflicts between owners and managers arising from information asymmetry and divergent interests. In family businesses, transparent governance structures and clearly defined succession procedures reduce agency costs and improve organizational efficiency during leadership transitions.

2.7 Family Governance Mechanisms

Gersick et al. (1997) developed the Three-Circle Model illustrating interactions among ownership, family, and

business systems. Their work emphasized that governance mechanisms such as family constitutions, family councils, and advisory boards reduce conflicts and facilitate smoother succession processes.

2.8 Emotional Attachment and Succession

Gómez-Mejía et al. (2007) introduced the concept of Socioemotional Wealth (SEW), arguing that family firms prioritize preservation of family identity, legacy, and reputation alongside financial objectives. These emotional considerations significantly influence succession decisions and long-term strategic orientation.

2.9 Leadership Development

Le Breton-Miller, Miller, and Steier (2004) observed that structured leadership development programmes improve successor preparedness. Organizations investing in formal education, mentoring, and cross-functional exposure produce more competent future leaders capable of sustaining organizational performance.

2.10 Innovation across Generations

Miller and Le Breton-Miller (2005) argued that long-lived family businesses combine traditional values with innovation. Successful successors respect organizational heritage while embracing technological advancement and strategic renewal, thereby maintaining competitive advantage across generations.

2.11 Organizational Culture

Denison, Lief, and Ward (2004) found that family firms possessing strong organizational cultures demonstrate higher employee commitment and customer loyalty. Cultural continuity during succession positively influences organizational stability and stakeholder confidence.

2.12 Communication and Family Harmony

Lansberg (1988) emphasized that open communication among family members reduces succession-related conflicts. Early discussion regarding future leadership responsibilities strengthens family relationships and facilitates consensus-building.

2.13 Professionalization of Family Businesses

Hall and Nordqvist (2008) argued that professional management practices complement rather than replace family values. Formal governance structures, independent directors, and strategic planning improve succession effectiveness while preserving family identity.

2.14 Entrepreneurial Orientation

Zellweger, Sieger, and Halter (2011) demonstrated that entrepreneurial orientation enhances intergenerational continuity. Successors encouraging innovation, calculated risk-taking, and strategic flexibility strengthen organizational competitiveness

in dynamic markets.

2.15 Knowledge Management

Nonaka and Takeuchi (1995) emphasized organizational knowledge creation through continuous interaction between tacit and explicit knowledge. Family firms possessing effective knowledge-sharing mechanisms experience smoother succession transitions and sustained innovation.

2.16 Sustainable Competitive Advantage

Porter (1985) explained that competitive advantage results from differentiation, cost leadership, and strategic positioning. In family businesses, succession planning contributes to competitive advantage by ensuring leadership continuity and preserving customer relationships.

2.17 Dynamic Capabilities

Teece, Pisano, and Shuen (1997) proposed that organizations capable of integrating, building, and reconfiguring internal competencies achieve long-term sustainability. Successors possessing adaptive capabilities enable family firms to respond effectively to technological and market changes.

2.18 Succession in Emerging Economies

Nordqvist, Wennberg, Bau', and Hellerstedt (2013) observed that succession planning in emerging economies is strongly influenced by cultural values, institutional environments, and family relationships. Their findings suggest that governance and leadership development are particularly important for sustaining family enterprises in developing countries.

3. Research Gap Analysis

Despite extensive research on family business succession, several significant gaps remain.

First, the majority of succession studies have been conducted in developed economies, including North America and Europe, whereas empirical evidence from emerging economies such as India remains comparatively limited.

Second, existing research has largely focused on succession outcomes rather than examining the strategic drivers that influence successful intergenerational continuity.

Third, many studies employ sophisticated statistical techniques such as Structural Equation Modelling (SEM), Partial Least Squares (PLS), and hierarchical regression. While academically rigorous, these methods are often difficult for small business owners and practitioners to interpret. There is limited research utilizing simple statistical approaches such as frequency analysis, mean scores, standard deviation, and rank analysis.

Fourth, previous studies frequently investigate succession from isolated theoretical perspectives. Few integrate leadership development, governance mechanisms, family harmony, organizational culture, knowledge transfer, and professional management

into a unified framework for explaining sustainable competitive advantage.

Finally, limited empirical attention has been devoted to understanding how strategic succession planning contributes simultaneously to organizational sustainability and long-term competitive advantage.

The present study seeks to address these gaps by examining the principal drivers of strategic succession planning in family businesses using a practical quantitative approach based on simple descriptive statistics.

4. Research Objectives

The study is guided by the following objectives:

Objective 1: To identify the major factors influencing strategic succession planning in family businesses.

Objective 2: To examine the role of leadership development in ensuring successful intergenerational continuity.

Objective 3: To evaluate the influence of governance mechanisms on succession effectiveness.

Objective 4: To assess the contribution of succession planning towards sustainable competitive advantage.

Objective 5: To provide strategic recommendations for improving succession planning practices in family-owned enterprises.

5. Research Questions

The study attempts to answer the following research questions:

1. What are the principal drivers of successful succession planning in family businesses?
2. How does successor competency influence intergenerational continuity?
3. What role do governance mechanisms play in succession success?
4. How does strategic succession planning contribute to sustainable competitive advantage?
5. Which succession factors receive the highest priority among family business owners?

5.1. Proposed Conceptual Framework

The conceptual model proposes that Strategic Succession Planning serves as the independent construct influencing Intergenerational Continuity and Sustainable Competitive Advantage.

Independent Variables

Leadership Development
 Successor Competency
 Family Governance
 Organizational Culture
 Knowledge Transfer
 Communication
 Family Harmony
 Professional Management
 Strategic Planning
 Innovation Orientation



Mediating Variable

Strategic Succession Planning



Dependent Variables

Intergenerational Continuity
 Sustainable Competitive Advantage
 Long-term Business Growth
 Organizational Sustainability

5. Research Hypotheses

Based on the review of literature, the following hypotheses are proposed:

H1: Strategic succession planning positively influences intergenerational continuity in family businesses.

H2: Leadership development and successor competency have a significant positive impact on succession planning.

H3: Effective family governance and knowledge transfer positively influence succession success.

H4: Strategic succession planning contributes significantly to sustainable competitive advantage.

6. Research Methodology

6.1 Research Design

The study adopts a quantitative, descriptive, and cross-sectional research design. It aims to examine the factors influencing strategic succession planning in family businesses and their contribution to intergenerational continuity and sustainable competitive advantage.

6.2 Population of the Study

The target population comprises owners, successors, directors, and senior managers of family-owned businesses operating in manufacturing, retail, trading, and service sectors.

6.3 Sample Size

A sample of 54 respondents was selected using convenience sampling, considering accessibility and willingness to participate.

6.4 Data Collection

The study primarily uses primary data, collected through a structured questionnaire based on a five-point Likert scale (1 = Strongly Disagree to 5 = Strongly Agree).

Secondary data were collected from:

Peer-reviewed journal articles
 Books
 Family business reports
 Government publications
 Business magazines and websites

6.5 Research Instrument

The questionnaire consists of two sections:

Section A: Demographic Profile

Age
 Gender
 Education
 Business Sector
 Business Experience

Generation involved (1st, 2nd, 3rd, etc.)

Section B: Succession Planning Variables

Leadership Development

Successor Competency

Knowledge Transfer

Family Governance

Communication

Family Harmony

Professional Management

Innovation Orientation

Strategic Planning

Sustainable Competitive Advantage

Each statement is measured on a five-point Likert scale.

6.6 Data Analysis

To ensure ease of interpretation, the study employs simple descriptive statistical techniques, including:

Frequency Distribution

Percentage Analysis

Mean Score

Standard Deviation

Rank Analysis

These techniques are appropriate for identifying the relative importance of succession planning factors and are suitable for manual calculation as well as spreadsheet-based analysis.

7. Variables of the Study

Independent Variables	Dependent Variables
Leadership Development	Intergenerational Continuity
Successor Competency	Sustainable Competitive Advantage
Family Governance	
Knowledge Transfer	
Communication	
Family Harmony	
Professional Management	
Innovation Orientation	
Strategic Planning	

8. Conceptual Framework

The study proposes that Leadership Development, Successor Competency, Family Governance, Knowledge Transfer, Communication, Family Harmony, Professional Management, Innovation Orientation, and Strategic Planning positively

influence Strategic Succession Planning, which in turn enhances Intergenerational Continuity and Sustainable Competitive Advantage in family businesses.

9. Results and Data Analysis

9.1 Demographic Profile of Respondents (N = 54)

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of Respondents

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	38	70.4
	Female	16	29.6
Age	Below 35 years	12	22.2
	35–45 years	18	33.3
	46–55 years	16	29.6
	Above 55 years	8	14.9
Business Generation	First	15	27.8

	Second	25	46.3
	Third and above	14	25.9
Business Sector	Manufacturing	18	33.3
	Trading	15	27.8
	Retail	11	20.4
	Services	10	18.5

Interpretation

Most respondents (70.4%) were male. Nearly half (46.3%) represented second-generation family businesses, indicating that the sample is appropriate

for examining succession planning. Manufacturing and trading firms together accounted for over 60% of the sample.

9.2 Descriptive Analysis of Succession Planning Factors

Table 2. Mean, Standard Deviation and Rank

Factors	Mean	SD	Rank
Successor Competency	4.61	0.49	1
Leadership Development	4.54	0.55	2
Knowledge Transfer	4.46	0.58	3
Family Governance	4.39	0.63	4
Family Harmony	4.33	0.66	5
Strategic Planning	4.28	0.69	6
Professional Management	4.21	0.72	7
Communication	4.15	0.75	8
Innovation Orientation	4.09	0.77	9
Sustainable Competitive Advantage	4.57	0.51	—

Interpretation

Successor competency recorded the highest mean score (4.61), indicating that respondents consider it the most important determinant of successful succession planning. Leadership development (4.54) and knowledge transfer (4.46) were also highly rated.

Although innovation orientation ranked last, its mean score (4.09) suggests that respondents still view innovation as an important contributor to long-term competitiveness.

9.3 Frequency Analysis

Table 3. Response Distribution

Statement	Agree & Strongly Agree (%)
Succession planning should begin early	90.7
Successors require formal leadership training	92.6
Knowledge transfer is essential	88.9
Family governance reduces conflicts	85.2

Professional management improves succession	83.3
Innovation supports continuity	81.5
Communication strengthens trust	87.0
Strategic planning improves competitiveness	90.7

Interpretation

More than 80% of respondents agreed with every statement, demonstrating a strong consensus that structured succession planning enhances business continuity and competitive advantage.

10. Discussion

The findings indicate that strategic succession planning is a critical determinant of long-term sustainability in family businesses. Successor competency emerged as the most influential factor, highlighting the importance of preparing future leaders through education, mentoring, and practical business exposure. This finding supports previous studies that identify leadership capability as the cornerstone of successful intergenerational transition. Leadership development and knowledge transfer were also identified as significant drivers of succession success. These findings reinforce the argument that organizational knowledge and founder experience should be systematically transferred to future generations to preserve competitive advantage.

Family governance and harmony were found to contribute positively to succession effectiveness by minimizing conflicts and improving decision-making. Similarly, professional management and strategic planning strengthen organizational resilience by balancing family values with modern business practices.

Overall, the results demonstrate that succession planning is not merely a leadership replacement process but a strategic initiative that enhances organizational continuity, innovation, and sustainable competitive advantage.

11. Concluding note

This study examined the drivers of strategic succession planning in family businesses and their influence on intergenerational continuity and sustainable competitive advantage. The findings reveal that successor competency, leadership development, knowledge transfer, governance, and family harmony are the primary determinants of successful succession. The study concludes that succession planning should begin well before leadership transition and be integrated into the long-term strategic planning process. Family businesses that invest in leadership development, encourage open communication, establish governance mechanisms, and promote professional management are more likely to achieve continuity across generations and sustain competitive advantage.

The study contributes to the growing literature on family business management by offering practical insights for business owners, policymakers, consultants, and educators. It also highlights the need for structured succession planning as a strategic capability that supports organizational sustainability and long-term growth.

12. Theoretical Implications

This study contributes to the family business literature by integrating the Resource-Based View (RBV), Stewardship Theory, and Knowledge-Based View to explain strategic succession planning. The findings demonstrate that intangible resources such as leadership capability, organizational culture, trust, governance, and knowledge transfer significantly influence intergenerational continuity. The study also extends existing research by identifying strategic succession planning as a dynamic capability that enables family businesses to achieve sustainable competitive advantage.

13. Managerial Implications

The findings provide several practical implications for family business owners and managers:

- Succession planning should be initiated at an early stage rather than during leadership crises.
- Family businesses should establish formal succession policies supported by governance mechanisms such as family councils and advisory boards.
- Successors should receive structured leadership training, mentoring, and cross-functional business exposure.
- Regular communication among family members should be encouraged to reduce conflicts and improve trust.
- Professional management practices should complement family values to enhance organizational efficiency.
- Organizations should promote innovation and digital transformation while preserving their core family culture.

These practices can significantly improve business continuity and long-term competitiveness.

14. Practical Implications

The study offers useful guidance for entrepreneurs, consultants, business associations, and educational institutions. Business owners can use the identified

succession drivers to design succession roadmaps, while consultants may incorporate the findings into succession advisory programmes. Educational institutions and management development centres can design leadership development programmes specifically for family-owned enterprises. Policymakers may also formulate support mechanisms for small and medium-sized family businesses to facilitate successful generational transitions.

15. Limitations of the Study

Despite its contributions, the study has certain limitations.

1. The sample size was limited to 54 respondents.
2. The study employed convenience sampling, which may limit generalizability.
3. The research adopted a cross-sectional design and therefore could not examine succession over time.
4. Data were collected using self-reported responses, which may introduce respondent bias.
5. The study focused primarily on family-owned businesses and did not compare them with non-family enterprises.

16. Future Research Directions

Future studies may consider:

- Conducting longitudinal research to examine succession planning over multiple generations.
- Comparing succession practices between family-owned and professionally managed organizations.
- Investigating digital leadership and artificial intelligence in succession planning.
- Examining gender diversity and women's leadership in family business succession.
- Employing advanced analytical techniques such as Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) or Partial Least Squares (PLS-SEM) to validate the proposed framework.
- Conducting cross-country comparative studies to understand cultural influences on succession planning.

17. Conclusion

Strategic succession planning has become a critical determinant of long-term sustainability in family businesses. The study demonstrates that successful succession depends on a combination of leadership development, successor competency, governance mechanisms, knowledge transfer, family harmony, and professional management practices. Among these factors, successor competency and leadership development emerged as the strongest drivers of intergenerational continuity.

The findings further indicate that strategic succession planning contributes significantly to sustainable

competitive advantage by preserving organizational

knowledge, strengthening stakeholder confidence, encouraging innovation, and ensuring business continuity across generations. Family businesses that institutionalize succession planning as a continuous strategic process rather than a one-time leadership transition are better positioned to respond to changing market conditions and maintain long-term organizational performance.

The study contributes to the growing body of literature on family business management by providing a practical framework that can assist business owners, policymakers, consultants, and researchers in strengthening succession planning practices. As family enterprises continue to play a pivotal role in national and global economies, effective succession planning will remain essential for ensuring organizational resilience, preserving family legacy, and sustaining competitive advantage across future generations.

References:

1. Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99–120.
2. Cabrera-Suárez, K., De Saá-Pérez, P., & García-Almeida, D. (2001). The succession process from a resource- and knowledge-based view. *Family Business Review*, 14(1), 37–48.
3. Chrisman, J. J., Chua, J. H., & Sharma, P. (2005). Trends and directions in family business research. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 29(5), 555–576.
4. Davis, J. H., Schoorman, F. D., & Donaldson, L. (1997). Toward a stewardship theory of management. *Academy of Management Review*, 22(1), 20–47.
5. Denison, D., Lief, C., & Ward, J. (2004). Culture in family-owned enterprises. *Family Business Review*, 17(1), 61–70.
6. Gersick, K. E., Davis, J. A., Hampton, M. M., & Lansberg, I. (1997). *Generation to Generation: Life Cycles of the Family Business*. Harvard Business School Press.
7. Gómez-Mejía, L. R., Haynes, K. T., Núñez-Nickel, M., Jacobson, K., & Moyano-Fuentes, J. (2007). Socioemotional wealth and business risks. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 52(1), 106–137.
8. Hall, A., & Nordqvist, M. (2008). Professional management in family businesses. *Family Business Review*, 21(1), 51–69.
9. Handler, W. C. (1994). Succession in family business: A review. *Family Business Review*, 7(2), 133–157.
10. Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3(4), 305–360.
11. Lansberg, I. (1988). The succession conspiracy. *Family Business Review*, 1(2), 119–143.

12. Le Breton-Miller, I., Miller, D., & Steier, L. (2004). Toward an integrative model of succession. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 28(4), 305–328.
13. Miller, D., & Le Breton-Miller, I. (2005). *Managing for the Long Run*. Harvard Business School Press.
14. Nonaka, I., & Takeuchi, H. (1995). *The Knowledge-Creating Company*. Oxford University Press.
15. Nordqvist, M., Wennberg, K., Bau, M., & Hellerstedt, K. (2013). Family firms and entrepreneurial orientation. *Journal of Family Business Strategy*, 4(3), 108–120.
16. Porter, M. E. (1985). *Competitive Advantage*. Free Press.
17. Sharma, P., Chrisman, J. J., & Chua, J. H. (2003). Succession planning in family firms. *Family Business Review*, 16(1), 1–15.
18. Teece, D. J., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(7), 509–533.
19. Ward, J. L. (1987). *Keeping the Family Business Healthy*. Jossey-Bass.
20. Zellweger, T., Sieger, P., & Halter, F. (2011). Should I stay or should I go? *Journal of Business Venturing*, 26(5), 521–536.
21. Astrachan, J. H., & Shanker, M. C. (2003). Family businesses' contribution to the U.S. economy. *Family Business Review*, 16(3), 211–219.
22. Chua, J. H., Chrisman, J. J., & Sharma, P. (1999). Defining the family business. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 23(4), 19–39.
23. Poza, E. J. (2013). *Family Business* (4th ed.). Cengage Learning.